

QUANTUM DYNAMICS¹

The motion of a particle is described by a complex wavefunction $|\psi(\vec{r}, t)\rangle$ that gives the probability amplitude of finding a particle at point \vec{r} at time t . If we know $|\psi(\vec{r}, t_0)\rangle$, how does it change with time?

$$|\psi(\vec{r}, t_0)\rangle \xrightarrow{?} |\psi(\vec{r}, t)\rangle \quad t > t_0$$

We will use our intuition here (largely based on correspondence to classical mechanics)

We start by assuming causality: $|\psi(t_0)\rangle$ precedes and determines $|\psi(t)\rangle$.

Also assume time is a continuous parameter:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} |\psi(t)\rangle = |\psi(t_0)\rangle$$

Define an operator that gives time-evolution of system.

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = U(t, t_0) |\psi(t_0)\rangle$$

This “time-displacement operator” is similar to the “space-displacement operator”

$$|\psi(\vec{r})\rangle = e^{ik(\vec{r}-\vec{r}_0)} |\psi(\vec{r}_0)\rangle$$

which moves a wavefunction in space.

U does not depend on $|\psi\rangle$. It is a linear operator.

$$\text{if } |\psi(t_0)\rangle = a_1 |\phi_1(t_0)\rangle + a_2 |\phi_2(t_0)\rangle$$

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = U(t, t_0) |\psi(t_0)\rangle$$

$$= a_1 U(t, t_0) |\phi_1(t_0)\rangle + a_2 U(t, t_0) |\phi_2(t_0)\rangle$$

$$= a_1(t) |\phi_1(t)\rangle + a_2(t) |\phi_2(t)\rangle$$

From Merzbacher, Sakurai, Mukamel

while $|a_i(t)\rangle$ typically not equal to $|a_i(0)\rangle$,

$$\sum_n |a_n(t)\rangle = \sum_n |a_n(t_0)\rangle$$

Properties of $U(t, t_0)$

Time continuity: $U(t, t) = 1$

Composition property: $U(t_2, t_0) = U(t_2, t_1)U(t_1, t_0)$ (This should suggest an exponential form).

Note: Order matters!

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(t_2)\rangle &= U(t_2, t_1)U(t_1, t_0)|\psi(t_0)\rangle \\ &= U(t_2, t_1)|\psi(t_1)\rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore U(t, t_0)U(t_0, t) = 1$$

$$\therefore U^{-1}(t, t_0) = U(t_0, t) \quad \text{inverse is time-reversal}$$

Let's write the time-evolution for an infinitesimal time-step, δt .

$$\lim_{\delta t \rightarrow 0} U(t_0 + \delta t, t_0) = \mathbf{1}$$

We expect that for small δt , the difference between $U(t_0, t_0)$ and $U(t_0 + \delta t, t_0)$ will be linear in δt . (Think of this as an expansion for small t):

$$U(t_0 + \delta t, t_0) = U(t_0, t_0) - i\Omega\delta t$$

Ω is a time-dependent Hermetian operator. We'll see later why the expansion must be complex.

Also, $U(t_0 + \delta t, t_0)$ is unitary. We know that $U^{-1}U = 1$ and also

$$U^\dagger(t_0 + \delta t, t_0)U(t_0 + \delta t, t_0) = (\mathbf{1} + i\Omega^\dagger\delta t)(\mathbf{1} - i\Omega\delta t) \approx \mathbf{1}$$

We know that $U(t + \delta t, t_0) = U(t + \delta t, t)U(t, t_0)$.

Knowing the change of U during the period δt allows us to write a differential equation for the time-development of $U(t, t_0)$. Equation of motion for U :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d U(t, t_0)}{dt} &= \lim_{\delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{U(t + \delta t, t_0) - U(t, t_0)}{\delta t} \\ &= \lim_{\delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{[U(t + \delta t, t) - 1] U(t, t_0)}{\delta t} \end{aligned}$$

The definition of our infinitesimal time step operator says that

$U(t + \delta t, t) = U(t, t) - i\Omega\delta t = 1 - i\Omega\delta t$. So we have:

$$\frac{\partial U(t, t_0)}{\partial t} = -i\Omega U(t, t_0)$$

You can now see that the operator needed a complex argument, because otherwise probability amplitude would not be conserved (it would rise or decay). Rather it oscillates through different states of the system.

Here Ω has units of frequency. Noting (1) quantum mechanics says $E = \hbar\omega$ and (2) in classical mechanics Hamiltonian generates time-evolution, we write

$$\Omega = \frac{H}{\hbar} \quad \Omega \text{ can be a function of time!}$$

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} U(t, t_0) = H U(t, t_0) \quad \text{eqn. of motion for } U$$

Multiplying from right by $|\psi(t_0)\rangle$ gives

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\psi\rangle = H |\psi\rangle$$

We are also interested in the equation of motion for U^\dagger . Following the same approach and recognizing that $U^\dagger(t, t_0)$ acts to the left:

$$\langle \psi(t) | = \langle \psi(t_0) | U^\dagger(t, t_0)$$

we get

$$-i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} U^\dagger(t, t_0) = U^\dagger(t, t_0) H$$

Evaluating $U(t, t_0)$: Time-Independent Hamiltonian

Direct integration of $i\hbar \partial U / \partial t = HU$ suggests that U can be expressed as:

$$U(t, t_0) = \exp\left[-\frac{i}{\hbar} H(t - t_0)\right]$$

Since H is an operator, we will define this operator through the expansion:

$$\exp\left[-\frac{iH}{\hbar}(t - t_0)\right] = 1 + \frac{-iH}{\hbar}(t - t_0) + \left(\frac{-i}{\hbar}\right)^2 \frac{[H(t - t_0)]^2}{2} + \dots$$

(NOTE: H commutes at all t .)

You can confirm the expansion satisfies the equation of motion for U .

For the time-independent Hamiltonian, we have a set of eigenkets:

$$H|n\rangle = E_n |n\rangle \quad \sum_n |n\rangle \langle n| = 1$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} U(t, t_0) &= \sum_n \exp[-iH(t - t_0)/\hbar] |n\rangle \langle n| \\ &= \sum_n |n\rangle \exp[-iE_n(t - t_0)/\hbar] \langle n| \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\psi(t)\rangle &= U(t, t_0)|\psi(t_0)\rangle \\
&= \sum_n |n\rangle \underbrace{\langle n|\psi(t_0)\rangle}_{c_n(t_0)} \exp\left[\frac{-i}{\hbar} E_n (t-t_0)\right] \\
&= \sum_n |n\rangle c_n(t) \qquad c_n(t) = c_n(t_0) \exp[-i\omega_n(t-t_0)]
\end{aligned}$$

Expectation values of operators are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle A(t) \rangle &= \langle \psi(t) | A | \psi(t) \rangle \\
&= \langle \psi(0) | U^\dagger(t, 0) A U(t, 0) | \psi(0) \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

For an initial state $|\psi(0)\rangle = \sum_n c_n(0) |n\rangle$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle A \rangle &= \sum_{n,m} c_m^* \langle m|m \rangle e^{+i\omega_m t} \langle m|A|n \rangle e^{-i\omega_n t} \langle n|n \rangle c_n \\
&= \sum_{n,m} c_m^* c_n A_{mn} e^{-i\omega_{nm} t} \\
&= \sum_{n,m} c_m^*(t) c_n(t) A_{mn}
\end{aligned}$$

What is the correlation amplitude for observing the state k at the time t ?

$$\begin{aligned}
c_k(t) &= \langle k | \psi(t) \rangle = \langle k | U(t, t_0) | \psi(t_0) \rangle \\
&= \sum_n \langle k | n \rangle \langle n | \psi(t_0) \rangle e^{-i\omega_n(t-t_0)}
\end{aligned}$$

Evaluating the time-evolution operator: Time-Dependent Hamiltonian

If H is a function of time, then the formal integration of $i\hbar \partial U / \partial t = HU$ gives

$$U(t, t_0) = \exp\left[\frac{-i}{\hbar} \int_{t_0}^t H(t') dt'\right]$$

Again, we can expand the exponential in a series, and substitute into the eqn. of motion to confirm it; however, we are treating H as a number.

$$U(t, t_0) = 1 - \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t_0}^t H(t') dt' + \frac{1}{2!} \left(\frac{-i}{\hbar} \right)^2 \int_{t_0}^t dt'' H(t') H(t'') + \dots$$

NOTE: This assumes that the Hamiltonians at different times commute! $[H(t'), H(t'')] = 0$

This is generally not the case in optical + mag. res. spectroscopy. It is only the case for special Hamiltonians with a high degree of symmetry, in which the eigenstates have the same symmetry at all times. For instance the case of a degenerate system (for instance spin $\frac{1}{2}$ system) with a time-dependent coupling.

Special Case: If the Hamiltonian does commute at all times, then we can evaluate the time-evolution operator in the exponential form or the expansion.

$$U(t, t_0) = 1 - \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t_0}^t H(t') dt' + \frac{1}{2!} \left(\frac{-i}{\hbar} \right)^2 \int_{t_0}^t dt' \int_{t_0}^{t'} dt'' H(t') H(t'') + \dots$$

If we also know the time-dependent eigenvalues from diagonalizing the time-dependent Hamiltonian (i.e., a degenerate two-level system problem), then:

$$U(t, t_0) = \sum_j |j\rangle \exp \left[\frac{-i}{\hbar} \int_{t_0}^t \epsilon_j(t') dt' \right] \langle j|$$

More generally: We assume the Hamiltonian at different times do not commute. Let's proceed a bit more carefully:

Integrate
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} U(t, t_0) = \frac{-i}{\hbar} H(t) U(t, t_0)$$

To give:
$$U(t, t_0) = 1 - \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t_0}^t d\tau H(\tau) U(\tau, t_0)$$

This is the solution; however, $U(t, t_0)$ is a function of itself. We can solve by iteratively substituting U into itself.

First Step:

$$\begin{aligned} U(t, t_0) &= 1 - \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t_0}^t d\tau H(\tau) \left[1 - \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t_0}^{\tau} d\tau' H(\tau') U(\tau', t_0) \right] \\ &= 1 + \left(\frac{-i}{\hbar} \right) \int_{t_0}^t d\tau H(\tau) \left(\frac{-i}{\hbar} \right)^2 \int_{t_0}^{\tau} d\tau' \int_{t_0}^{\tau'} d\tau'' H(\tau) H(\tau') U(\tau'', t_0) \end{aligned}$$

Next Step:

$$\begin{aligned}
 U(t, t_0) &= 1 + \left(\frac{-i}{\hbar}\right) \int_{t_0}^t d\tau H(\tau) \\
 &+ \left(\frac{-i}{\hbar}\right)^2 \int_{t_0}^t d\tau \int_{t_0}^{\tau} d\tau' H(\tau) H(\tau') \\
 &+ \left(\frac{-i}{\hbar}\right)^3 \int_{t_0}^t d\tau \int_{t_0}^{\tau} d\tau' \int_{t_0}^{\tau'} d\tau'' H(\tau) H(\tau') H(\tau'') U(\tau'', t_0)
 \end{aligned}$$

From this expansion, you should be aware that there is a time-ordering to the interactions. For the third term, τ'' acts before τ' , which acts before τ : $t_0 \leq \tau'' \leq \tau' \leq \tau \leq t$.

Notice also that the operators act to the right.

This is known as the (positive) time-ordered exponential.

$$\begin{aligned}
 U(t, t_0) &\equiv \exp_+ \left[\frac{-i}{\hbar} \int_{t_0}^t d\tau H(\tau) \right] = \hat{T} \exp \left[\frac{-i}{\hbar} \int_{t_0}^t d\tau H(\tau) \right] \\
 &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{-i}{\hbar}\right)^n \int_{t_0}^t d\tau_n \int_{t_0}^{\tau_n} d\tau_{n-1} \dots \int_{t_0}^{\tau_1} d\tau_1 H(\tau_n) H(\tau_{n-1}) \dots H(\tau_1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Here the time-ordering is:

$$\begin{aligned}
 t_0 &\rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_3 \dots \tau_n \rightarrow t \\
 t_0 &\rightarrow \dots \tau'' \rightarrow \tau' \rightarrow \tau
 \end{aligned}$$

Compare this with the expansion of an exponential:

$$1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left(\frac{-i}{\hbar}\right)^n \int_{t_0}^t d\tau_n \dots \int_{t_0}^{\tau_1} d\tau_1 H(\tau_n) H(\tau_{n-1}) \dots H(\tau_1)$$

Here the time-variables assume all values, and therefore all orderings for $H(\tau_i)$ are calculated.

The areas are normalized by the $n!$ factor. (There are $n!$ time-orderings of the τ_n times.)

We are also interested in the Hermetian conjugate of $U(t, t_0)$, which has the equation of motion

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} U^\dagger(t, t_0) = \frac{+i}{\hbar} U^\dagger(t, t_0) H(t)$$

If we repeat the method above, remembering that $U^\dagger(t, t_0)$ acts to the left:

$$\langle \psi(t) | = \langle \psi(t_0) | U^\dagger(t, t_0)$$

then from $U^\dagger(t, t_0) = U^\dagger(t_0, t_0) + \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t_0}^t d\tau U^\dagger(t, \tau) H(\tau)$ we obtain a negative-time-ordered exponential:

$$\begin{aligned} U^\dagger(t, t_0) &= \exp_- \left[\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t_0}^t d\tau H(\tau) \right] \\ &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \right)^n \int_{t_0}^t d\tau_n \int_{t_0}^{\tau_n} d\tau_{n-1} \dots \int_{t_0}^{\tau_2} d\tau_1 H(\tau_1) H(\tau_2) \dots H(\tau_n) \end{aligned}$$

Here the $H(\tau_i)$ act to the left.