


TODAY: Hashing II

- table resizing
- amortization
- string matching & Karp-Rabin
- rolling hash

Recall:- hashing with chaining:

- expected cost (insert/delete/search): $\Theta(1 + \alpha)$
 - ↳ assuming simple uniform hashing OR universal hashing
 - & hash function h takes $O(1)$ time

- division method: $h(k) = k \bmod m$
ideally prime ↗

- multiplication method:

$$h(k) = [(a \cdot k) \bmod 2^w] \gg (w-r)$$

random \leftarrow \leftarrow w bits $\leftarrow m = 2^r$

How large should table be?

- want $m = \Theta(n)$ at all times
- don't know how large n will get @ creation
- m too small \Rightarrow slow; m too big \Rightarrow wasteful

Idea: start small (constant)
grow (& shrink) as necessary

Rehashing: to grow or shrink table
hash function must change (m, r)
 \Rightarrow must rebuild hash table from scratch
for item in old table: \rightarrow for each slot;
insert into new table for item in slot
 $\Rightarrow \Theta(n+m)$ time = $\Theta(n)$ if $m = \Theta(n)$

How fast to grow? when n reaches m , say

- $m += 1$?
 \Rightarrow rebuild every step
 \Rightarrow n inserts cost $\Theta(1+2+\dots+n) = \Theta(n^2)$
- $m *= 2$? $m = \Theta(n)$ still ($r += 1$)
 \Rightarrow rebuild at insertion 2^i
 \Rightarrow n inserts cost $\Theta(1+2+4+8+\dots+n)$
really the next power of 2 \uparrow
 $= \Theta(n)$
- a few inserts cost linear time,
but $\Theta(1)$ "on average"

Amortized analysis — common technique in DSs

— like paying rent: \$1500/month \approx \$50/day

- operation has amortized cost $T(n)$
- if k operations cost $\leq k \cdot T(n)$
- “ $T(n)$ amortized” roughly means $T(n)$ “on average”, but averaged over all ops.
- e.g. inserting into a hash table takes $O(1)$ amortized time

Back to hashing: maintain $m = \Theta(n) \Rightarrow \alpha = \Theta(1)$

\Rightarrow support search in $O(1)$ expected time
(assuming simple uniform hashing/universal)

Delete: also $O(1)$ expected as is

- space can get big with respect to n
- e.g. $n \times$ insert, $n \times$ delete


— solution: when n decreases to $m/4$, shrink to half the size

$\Rightarrow O(1)$ amortized cost for both insert & delete

- analysis harder; see CLRS 17.4

Resizable arrays:

- same trick solves Python “list” (array)
- \Rightarrow `list.append` & `list.pop` in $O(1)$ amortized



String matching: given two strings s & t ,
does s occur as a substring of t ?
(and if so, where & how many times?)
e.g. $s = '6.006'$ & $t = \text{your entire INBOX}$
('grep' on UNIX)

Simple algorithm:



- any($s == t[i:i+len(s)]$
for i in range($len(t) - len(s)$))
- $O(|s|)$ time for each substring comparison
- $\Rightarrow O(|s| \cdot (|t| - |s|))$ time
 $= O(|s| \cdot |t|)$ potentially quadratic

Karp-Rabin algorithm:

- compare $h(s) == h(t[i:i+len(s)])$
- if hash values match, likely so do strings
 - can check $s == t[i:i+len(s)]$
to be sure \sim cost $O(|s|)$
 - if yes, found match - done
 - if no, happened with probability $< \frac{1}{|s|}$
 \Rightarrow expected cost is $O(1)$ per i
- need suitable hash function
- expected time = $O(|s| + |t| \cdot \text{cost}(h))$
 - naively $h(x)$ costs $|x|$
 - we'll achieve $O(1)$!
 - idea: $t[i:i+len(s)] \approx t[i+1:i+1+len(s)]$

Rolling hash ADT: maintain string x subject to

- $r()$: reasonable hash function $h(x)$
- $r.append(c)$: add letter c to end of x
- $r.skip(c)$: remove front letter from x , assuming it is c

Karp-Rabin application:

```
for c in s: rs.append(c)
for c in t[:len(s)]: rt.append(c)
if rs() == rt(): ...
for i in range(len(s), len(t)):
    rt.skip(t[i-len(s)])
    rt.append(t[i])
    if rs() == rt(): ...
```

$O(|s|)$

$O(|t|)$

$+O(\#matches - |s|)$ to verify

Data structure: treat string x as a multidigit number u in base a

alphabet size \uparrow e.g. 256

- $r() = u \bmod p$ for prime $p \approx |s|$ or $|t|$
ideally random \rightarrow (division method)
- r stores $u \bmod p$ & $|x|$ (really $a^{|x|}$), not u
 \Rightarrow smaller & faster to work with
($u \bmod p$ fits in one machine word)
- $r.append(c) = (u \cdot a + \text{ord}(c)) \bmod p$
 $= [(u \bmod p) \cdot a + \text{ord}(c)] \bmod p$
- $r.skip(c) = [u - \text{ord}(c) \cdot (a^{|x|-1} \bmod p)] \bmod p$
 $= [(u \bmod p) - \text{ord}(c) \cdot (a^{|x|-1} \bmod p)] \bmod p$

MIT OpenCourseWare
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

6.006 Introduction to Algorithms
Fall 2011

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.