

Parfit, *Reasons and Persons*

1. What is the Non-Identity Problem? Explain how Parfit motivates the problem through various cases.
2. Explain and evaluate the various theses that Parfit discusses, e.g., The Same Number Quality Claim, The Person Affecting View, The No Difference view.
3. Could it be defensible to insist that 'what is bad must be bad for someone' while agreeing that we should not choose Depletion? Is there a way to explain why A is worse outcome than B if A is not worse *for* anyone?
4. What do you make of the Happy Child case? What are the consequences of the position you take?
5. What can be said for or against the The Impersonal Average Principle, or the Impersonal Total Principle? How might we arrive at the Repugnant Conclusion?
6. Is there a connection between how we might address the problems of Ch 17 and those of Ch 16?

MIT OpenCourseWare
<https://ocw.mit.edu/>

24.401 Proseminar in Philosophy II
Spring 2020

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <https://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.