

A family of non-analytic functions.

Let $m \geq 0$ be any nonnegative integer. Define

$$f_m(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{e^{-1/x^2}}{x^m} & \text{for } x \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

We will show that each of the functions $f_m(x)$ has continuous derivatives of all orders, for all x . We also show that none of them is analytic near 0; that is, none of them equals a power series of the form $\sum a_n x^n$ in an interval about 0.

Theorem 1. (a) The function $f_m(x)$ is continuous for all x .

(b) Furthermore, $f'_m(x)$ exists for all x and satisfies the equation

$$f'_m(x) = -mf_{m+1}(x) + 2f_{m+3}(x).$$

Proof. (a) The general theorem about composites of continuous functions shows that $f_m(x)$ is continuous when $x \neq 0$. To prove continuity at $x = 0$, we must show that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^{-1/x^2}}{x^m} = 0.$$

The substitution $\mu = 1/x^2$ simplifies the calculation. We have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^{-1/x^2}}{x^m} = \lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e^{-\mu}}{1/\mu^{m/2}} = \lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu^{m/2}}{e^{\mu}}.$$

This limit is zero because e^μ approaches infinity faster than any power of μ , as $\mu \rightarrow \infty$.

(b) We check differentiability. If $x \neq 0$, we calculate directly:

$$\begin{aligned} f'_m(x) &= D\left(\frac{1}{x^m} e^{-1/x^2}\right) = \frac{-m}{x^{m+1}} e^{-1/x^2} + \frac{1}{x^m} e^{-1/x^2} \left(\frac{2}{x^3}\right) \\ &= -mf_{m+1}(x) + 2f_{m+3}(x). \end{aligned}$$

To show the derivative exists at $x = 0$, we apply the definition of the derivative:

$$\begin{aligned} f'_m(0) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_m(0+h) - f_m(0)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{(e^{-1/h^2}/h^m) - 0}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^{-1/h^2}}{h^{m+1}} \end{aligned}$$

This limit is zero, by part (a). Therefore, the derivative exists at $x = 0$ and equals 0. Thus the formula

$$f'_m(x) = -mf_{m+1}(x) + 2f_{m+3}(x)$$

holds when $x = 0$.

Theorem 2. The function $f_m(x)$ has continuous derivatives of all orders, for all x , but $f_m(x)$ does not equal a power series $\sum a_n x^n$ on any interval about 0.

Proof. We know that each function $f_m(x)$ is differentiable, for all x . The equation

$$f'_m(x) = -mf_{m+1}(x) + 2f_{m+3}(x)$$

shows us that $f'_m(x)$ is differentiable, for each x . This is the same as saying that derivative $f''_m(x)$ exists for all x .

In general, we proceed by induction. Suppose we are given that the n^{th} derivative of each function $f_m(x)$ exists, for all x . Then the preceding equation shows that the n^{th} derivative of the function $f'_m(x)$ also exists, for all x . This is the same as saying that the $(n+1)^{\text{st}}$ derivative of $f_m(x)$ exists.

It follows that the n^{th} derivative of $f_m(x)$ exists, for all x and all n . And of course it is continuous because the $(n+1)^{\text{st}}$ derivative exists.

Now we suppose $f_m(x) = \sum a_n x^n$ on some non-trivial interval about $x = 0$, and derive a contradiction. If $f_m(x)$ equals this power series, then the coefficients a_n must satisfy the equations

$$a_n = \frac{f_m^{(n)}(0)}{n!}$$

for all n . We know that $f_m(x)$ vanishes when $x = 0$. Using the equation

$$f'_m(x) = -mf_{m+1}(x) + 2f_{m+3}(x)$$

repeatedly, we see that all the derivatives of $f_m(x)$ also vanish at $x = 0$. Therefore $a_n = 0$ for all n , so $f_m(x)$

is identically zero in some interval about $x = 0$. But this is not true; indeed the function $f_m(x)$ vanishes only for $x = 0$.

MIT OpenCourseWare
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

18.014 Calculus with Theory
Fall 2010

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.