

Practice Quiz 4

18.100B R2 Fall 2010

Closed book, no calculators.

YOUR NAME: SOLUTIONS

This is a 30 minute in-class exam. No notes, books, or calculators are permitted. Point values are indicated for each problem. Do all the work on these pages.

Problem 1. [15 points] Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^n} & \text{if } x = \frac{k}{2^n} \text{ for } k \text{ odd, } 0 < k < 2^n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Show that f is Riemann integrable on $[0, 1]$, and that $\int_0^1 f(x) dx = 0$.

$$\bullet L(f, P=(x_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \underbrace{\inf_{x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]} f(x)}_{\geq 0} \underbrace{(x_i - x_{i-1})}_{> 0} \geq 0 \Rightarrow L(f) = \sup_P L(f, P) \geq 0$$

$$\bullet U(f, P=(x_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sup_{x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]} f(x) (x_i - x_{i-1})$$

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, pick a partition

$$P^\varepsilon = \left(0, \frac{1}{2^n} - \delta, \frac{1}{2^n} + \delta, \frac{3}{2^n} - \delta, \frac{3}{2^n} + \delta, \dots, \frac{2^n - 1}{2^n} - \delta, \frac{2^n - 1}{2^n} + \delta, 1 \right)$$

with $\delta < \frac{1}{2^n}$ (hence $\frac{1}{2^n} + \delta < \frac{3}{2^n} - \delta$) and $\delta \leq \varepsilon$, then

$$U(f, P^\varepsilon) = \sum_{k=1}^{2^n-1} \underbrace{\sup f}_{\frac{1}{2^n}} \cdot \underbrace{\Delta x_i}_{2\delta} + 0 = \frac{2^n - 1}{2^n} \delta < 2\delta < \varepsilon$$

from intervals $[\frac{k}{2^n} - \delta, \frac{k}{2^n} + \delta]$ from other intervals

Together with Rudin ($L(f) \leq U(f)$) this shows

$$0 \leq L(f) \leq U(f) \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0 \Rightarrow L(f) = U(f) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow f \text{ integrable, } \int_0^1 f dx = L(f) = 0$$

Problem 2. [10 points] Suppose that $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, nonnegative (i.e. $f(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in [a, b]$), and $\int_a^b f(x) dx = 0$. Show that $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in [a, b]$.

$$f \text{ continuous} \Rightarrow \text{integrable} \Rightarrow L(f) = 0$$

$$\parallel$$

$$\sup_P L(f, P)$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall \text{ partitions } P \quad L(f, P) \leq 0$$

Suppose by contradiction $f(x_0) > 0$ for some $x_0 \in [a, b]$,
then by continuity find $\delta > 0$ s.t.

$$|x - x_0| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \frac{1}{2} f(x_0)$$

$$\Rightarrow f(x) \geq f(x_0) - \frac{1}{2} f(x_0) = \frac{1}{2} f(x_0)$$

Now consider any equidistant partition P with $\Delta x < \delta$, then

$$L(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^n \underbrace{\inf_{x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]} f(x)}_{\geq 0 \text{ since } f(x) \geq 0} \Delta x \geq \inf_{x \in [x_{i_0-1}, x_{i_0}]} f(x) \cdot \Delta x$$

$$\underbrace{\quad}_{x_0}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} f(x_0) \cdot \Delta x > 0$$

just looking at an
interval that contains x_0

in contradiction to $L(f, P) \leq 0$

Problem 3. [5+7+8 points]

(a) Consider the sequence of partial sums

$$f_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n e^{-kx} \cos(kx).$$

For any $a > 0$ show that f_n converges uniformly on $[a, \infty)$.

$m > n$

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_n - f_m\|_{\infty} &= \left\| \sum_{k=n+1}^m e^{-kx} \cos(kx) \right\|_{\infty} \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^m \sup_{x \geq a} e^{-kx} |\cos kx| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=n+1}^m e^{-ka} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad \text{since } \sum e^{-ka} = \sum (e^{-a})^k \\ &\quad \text{converges due to } |e^{-a}| < 1 \end{aligned}$$

This shows uniform convergence
by the "Cauchy criterion" (in Rudin).

(b) Let $f(x)$ denote the limit of the sequence $f_n(x)$ in (a). Show that $f(x)$ is continuous on $(0, \infty)$.

To show that f is continuous at $x_0 \in (0, \infty)$ note that

- each f_n is continuous on $[x_0/2, 2x_0]$
- $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on $[x_0/2, 2x_0]$ by (a)

So, by Rudin, f is continuous on $[x_0/2, 2x_0]$, which contains x_0 .

(c) Using the function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ from (b), find (and prove) an explicit upper bound for $|\int_1^\infty f(x) dx|$, such as 2 or $\frac{e}{e-1}$. (Hint: You only need to integrate e^{-nx} for this estimate.)

$$\int_1^\infty f(x) dx = \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^b f(x) dx \stackrel{\text{by def}^n}{=} \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^b f_n(x) dx \stackrel{\text{by Rudin}}{=} \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^b f_n(x) dx$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^b f_n(x) dx \stackrel{\text{linearity of integral}}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_1^b \underbrace{e^{-kx} \cos kx}_{|1| \leq e^{-kx}} dx \quad \text{exists by comparison with the absolutely convergent series } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-k},$$

and

$$\left| \int_1^b f(x) dx \right| = \left| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^b f_n(x) dx \right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \int_1^b e^{-kx} dx = \sum_{k=1}^n \left[\frac{1}{k} e^{-kx} \right]_1^b \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} e^{-k} \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{e}\right)^k \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{e}\right)^k = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{e}} = \frac{e}{e-1}$$

Similarly, $\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^b f dx$ exists since for $b' > b$

$$\left| \int_1^{b'} f dx - \int_1^b f dx \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_b^{b'} f_n dx \right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} (e^{-bk} - e^{-b'k}) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (e^{-b})^k = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-b}}$$

converges to 0 as $b \rightarrow \infty$. (Hence the same holds for any sequence $b_i \rightarrow \infty$, making $\int_1^{b_i} f dx$ a Cauchy sequence. Completeness of \mathbb{R} then implies convergence as $i \rightarrow \infty$; and the limit for all sequences $b_i \rightarrow \infty$ is the same since otherwise one could make a divergent (oscillating) sequence.)

$$\text{So } \int_1^\infty f dx \text{ exists, and } \int_1^\infty f dx = \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^b f dx \leq \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e}{e-1} = \frac{e}{e-1}.$$

Problem 4. [20 points: +4 for each correct true/false, -4 for each incorrect true/false; you can opt for 'unsure' and gain up to +2 for giving your thoughts.]

a) Suppose $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable on (a, b) . Then f is Riemann integrable.

TRUE

UNSURE

FALSE

[differentiable implies continuous, but not bounded - e.g. $f(x) = x^{-1}$ on $(0, 1]$ is diff'ble on $(0, 1]$]

b) Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be Riemann integrable. Then the function $F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $F(x) = \int_a^x f(t)dt$ is continuous.

TRUE

UNSURE

FALSE

[see Rudin]

c) If $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Riemann integrable and satisfies $f(x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in [a, b] \cap (\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q})$, then $\int_a^b f(x)dx \leq 0$.

TRUE

UNSURE

FALSE

[$L(f, P) = \sum \inf_{x_i \leq x < x_{i+1}} f(x) \cdot \Delta x_i \leq 0 \Rightarrow L(f) = \int f dx \leq 0$
 ≤ 0 since any interval contains $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$]

d) If $f_n : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a sequence of continuous functions, and $f_n \rightarrow f$ converges uniformly, then the limit f is uniformly continuous.

TRUE

UNSURE

FALSE

[the limit is continuous by Rudin 7. ... , and uniformly continuous since $[a, b]$ is compact]

e) If $f_n : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a sequence of almost everywhere continuous functions, and $f_n \rightarrow f$ converges uniformly, then the limit f is almost everywhere continuous.

TRUE

UNSURE

FALSE

[almost everywhere continuous \Leftrightarrow Riemann integrable
 so result follows from " $f_n \in \mathcal{R}, \|f_n - f\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow f \in \mathcal{R}$ "]

MIT OpenCourseWare
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

18.100B Analysis I
Fall 2010

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.