## Fast Fourier Transform Algorithms (MIT IAP 2008)

Prof. Steven G. Johnson, MIT Dept. of Mathematics

January 11, 2008

Fast Fourier transforms (FFTs),  $O(N \log N)$  algorithms to compute a discrete Fourier transform (DFT) of size N, have been called one of the ten most important algorithms of the 20th century. They are what make Fourier transforms practical on a computer, and Fourier transforms (which express any function as a sum of pure sinusoids) are used in everything from solving partial differential equations to digital signal processing (e.g. MP3 compression) to multiplying large numbers (for computing  $\pi$  to  $10^{12}$  decimal places). Although the applications are important and numerous, the FFT algorithms themselves reveal a surprisingly rich variety of mathematics that has been the subject of active research for 40+ years, and into which this lecture will attempt to dip your toes. The DFT and its inverse are defined by the following relation between N inputs  $x_n$  and N outputs  $y_k$  (all complex numbers):

DFT
$$(x_n)$$
:  $y_k = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x_n e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{N}nk},$  (1)

inverse DFT
$$(y_k)$$
:  $x_n = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} y_k e^{+\frac{2\pi i}{N} nk}$  (2)

where  $i=\sqrt{-1}$ , recalling Euler's identity that  $e^{i\phi}=\cos\phi+i\sin\phi$ . Each of the N DFT outputs  $k=0,\cdots,N-1$  is the sum of N terms, so evaluating this formula directly requires  $O(N^2)$  operations. The trick is to rearrange this computation to expose redundant calculations that we can factor out.

The most important FFT algorithm is called the Cooley-Tukey (C-T) algorithm, after the two authors who popularized it in 1965 (unknowingly re-inventing an algorithm known to Gauss in 1805). It works for any *composite* size  $N=N_1N_2$  by re-expressing the DFT of size N in terms of smaller DFTs of size  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  (which are themselves broken down, recursively, into smaller DFTs until the prime factors are reached). Effectively, C-T expresses the array  $x_n$  of length N as a "two-dimensional" array of size  $N_1 \times N_2$  indexed by  $(n_1, n_2)$ , so that  $n=N_1n_2+n_1$  (where  $n_{1,2}=0,\cdots,N_{1,2}-1$ ). Similarly, the output is expressed as a *transposed* 2d array,  $N_2 \times N_1$ , indexed by

 $(k_2, k_1)$ , so that  $k = N_2k_1 + k_2$ . Substituted into the DFT above, this gives:

$$y_{N_2k_1+k_2} = \sum_{n_1=0}^{N_1-1} \left( \left\{ e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{N} n_1 k_2} \right\} \left[ \sum_{n_2=0}^{N_2-1} e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{N_2} n_2 k_2} x_{N_1 n_2 + n_1} \right] \right) e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{N_1} n_1 k_1}$$
(3)

where we have used the fact that  $e^{-2\pi i n_2 k_1} = 1$  (for any integers  $n_2$  and  $k_1$ ). Here, the outer sum is exactly a length- $N_1$  DFT of the  $(\cdots)$  terms, one for each value of  $k_2$ ; and the inner sum in  $[\cdots]$  is a length- $N_2$  DFT, one for each value of  $n_1$ . The phase in the  $\{\cdots\}$  is called the "twiddle factor" (honest). Assuming that N has small (bounded) prime factors, this algorithm requires  $O(N\log N)$  operations when carried out recursively — the key savings coming from the fact that we have exposed a repeated calculation: the  $[\cdots]$  DFTs need only be carried out *once* for *all*  $y_k$  outputs.

For a given N, there are many choices of factorizations (e.g.  $12=3\cdot 4$  and  $4\cdot 3$  give a different sequence of computations). Moreover, the transposition from input to output implies a data rearrangement process that can be accomplished in many ways. As a result, many different strategies for evaluating the C-T algorithm have been proposed (each with its own name), and the optimal approach is still a matter of active research. Commonly, either  $N_1$  or  $N_2$  is a small (bounded) constant factor, called the radix, and the approach is called decimation in time (DIT) for  $N_1=$  radix or frequency (DIF) for  $N_2=$  radix. Textbook examples are typically radix-2 DIT (dividing  $x_n$  into two interleaved halves with each step), but serious implementations employ more sophisticated strategies.

There are many other FFT algorithms and there are also many different ways to view the *same* algorithms. One fruitful way is to view the DFT in terms of operations on *polynomials*. In particular, define a polynomial x(z) by

$$x(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x_n z^n.$$
 (4)

Then

$$y_k = x(e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{N}k}) = x(z) \mod (z - e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{N}k}),$$
 (5)

 $<sup>^1</sup>$ Read " $O(N^2)$ " as "roughly proportional, for large N." e.g.  $15N^2+24N$  is  $O(N^2)$ . (Technically, I should really say  $\Theta(N^2)$ , but I'm not going to get that formal.)

where  $x(z) \mod u(z)$  (x(z) "modulo" u(z)) means the *remainder* of dividing x(z) by u(z). Since  $u(z) \mod u(z) = 0$ , taking  $x(z) \mod u(z)$  is equivalent to setting u(z) = 0, which in this case means setting  $z = e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{N}k}$ .

The DFT corresponds to computing  $x(z) \mod (z$  $e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{N}k}$ ) for all  $k=0\ldots N-1$ , which would take  $O(N^2)$ operations if done directly. The key observation, from a polynomial viewpoint, is that we can do this modulo operation recursively by combining the factors  $(z - e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{N}k})$ . In particular, it is easy to show that  $x(z) \mod u(z) = [x(z)]$  $\mod u(z)v(z) \mod u(z)$  for any u(z) and v(z). This means that we can first compute x(z) modulo the product of the factors, and then recursively evaluate the remainder by a *recursive factorization* of this product. But the product  $\prod_k (z-e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{N}k})=z^N-1$ , since the  $e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{N}k}$  are just the Nth roots of unity (solutions of  $z^N - 1 = 0$ ). It follows that any recursive factorization of  $z^N - 1$  into  $N \log N$ bounded-degree factors gives us an  $O(N \log N)$  FFT algorithm! In particular, the radix-2 Cooley-Tukey algorithm is equivalent to the recursive factorization (for N a power of 2):  $z^N-a=(z^{N/2}-\sqrt{a})(z^{N/2}+\sqrt{a}),$  where we start with a=1 and end up with  $a=e^{-i\frac{2\pi i}{N}k}.$ 

Different recursive factorizations of  $z^N-1$  lead to different FFT algorithms, one of which you will examine for homework. Many other FFT algorithms exist as well, from the "prime-factor algorithm" (1958) that exploits the Chinese remainder theorem for  $gcd(N_1, N_2) = 1$ , to FFT algorithms that work for *prime N*, one of which we give below.

The core of the DFT is the constant  $\omega_N = e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{N}}$ ; because this is a primitive root of unity  $(\omega_N^N=1)$ , any exponent of  $\omega_N$  is evaluated  $modulo\ N$ . That is,  $\omega_N^m=\omega_N^r$  where r is the remainder when we divide m by N ( $r=m \mod N$ ). A great body of number theory has been developed around such "modular arithmetic", and we can exploit it to develop FFT algorithms different from C-T. For example, Rader's algorithm (1968) allows us to compute  $O(N\log N)$  FFTs of prime sizes N, by turning the DFT into a cyclic convolution of length N-1, which in turn is evaluated by (non-prime) FFTs. Given  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  ( $n=0,\cdots,N-1$ ), their convolution  $c_n$  is defined by the sum

$$c_n = \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} a_m b_{n-m},\tag{6}$$

where the convolution is *cyclic* if the n-m subscript is "wrapped" periodically onto  $0,\cdots,N-1$ . This operation is central to digital filtering, differential equations, and other applications, and is evaluated in  $O(N\log N)$  time by the *convolution theorem*:  $c_n=$  inverse FFT(FFT $(a_n)\cdot$ FFT $(b_n)$ ). Now, back to the FFT...

For prime N, there exists a generator g of the multiplicative group modulo N: this means that  $g^p \mod N$  for  $p=0,\cdots,N-2$  produces all  $n=1,\cdots,N-1$  exactly once (but not in order!). Thus, we can write all non-zero n and k in the form  $n=g^p$  and  $k=g^{N-1-q}$  for some p and

q, and rewrite the DFT as

$$y_0 = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x_n,\tag{7}$$

$$y_{k\neq 0} = y_{g^{N-1-q}} = x_0 + \sum_{p=0}^{N-2} \omega_N^{g^{p+N-1-q}} x_{g^p},$$
 (8)

where (8) is exactly the cyclic convolution of  $a_p = x_{g^p}$  with  $b_p = \omega_N^{g^{N-1-p}}$ . This convolution has non-prime length N-1, and so we can evaluate it via the convolution theorem with FFTs in  $O(N\log N)$  time (except for some unusual cases).

## **Further Reading**

- D. N. Rockmore, "The FFT: An Algorithm the Whole Family Can Use," Comput. Sci. Eng. 2 (1), 60 (2000). Special issue on "top ten" algorithms of century. See: http://tinyurl.com/3wjvk and http://tinyurl.com/yvonp8
- "Fast Fourier transform," *Wikipedia: The Free Ency-clopedia* (http://tinyurl.com/5c6f3). Edited by SGJ for correctness as of 10 Jan 2006 (along with subsidiary articles on C-T and other specific algorithms).
- "The Fastest Fourier Transform in the West," a free FFT implementation obviously named by arrogant MIT graduate students. http://www.fftw.org/

## **Homework Problems**

**Problem 1:** Prove that equation (2) really is the inverse of equation (1). Hint: substitute (1) into (2), interchange the order of the two sums, and sum the geometric series.

**Problem 2:** (a) Prove that for N a power of 2, we can recursively factorize  $z^N-1$  into polynomials of the form  $z^M-1$ and  $z^{2M} + az^M + 1$  with a some real numbers and  $|a| \le 2$ , for a decreasing sequence of M all the way down to M=1. (The final quadratic factors for M=1 can then be factored into conjugate pairs of roots of unity  $e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N}k}$ .) This gives an FFT algorithm due to Bruun (1978), distinct from Cooley-Tukey in that all of its multiplicative constants (a's) are real numbers until the very last step. (b) Apply this algorithm to write down the steps for a "Bruun" FFT of size N=8, and count the number of required real additions and multiplications (not counting operations for x-independent constants like  $2 \cdot \sqrt{2}$  that can be precomputed, and not counting trivial multiplications by  $\pm 1$  or  $\pm i$ ). Compare this to the minimum known operation count of 56 total real additions and multiplications for N=8 (achieved by the "split-radix" algorithm).

MIT OpenCourseWare <a href="https://ocw.mit.edu">https://ocw.mit.edu</a>

## 18.335J Introduction to Numerical Methods Spring 2019

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <a href="https://ocw.mit.edu/terms">https://ocw.mit.edu/terms</a>.