18.969 Topics in Geometry: Mirror Symmetry
Spring 2009

MIRROR SYMMETRY: LECTURE 11

DENIS AUROUX

0.1. Lagrangian Floer Homology (contd).

Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold, L_0, L_1 compact Lagrangian submanifolds. Formally, Floer homology is Morse theory for the action functional on the path space $\mathcal{P}(L_0, L_1)$, which has as critical points the constant paths. More precisely, the actual functional is a map $\tilde{A} : \tilde{\mathcal{P}}(L_0, L_1) \to \mathbb{R}$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}(L_0, L_1)$ is the universal cover of the path space, i.e. pairs $(\gamma, [u])$ where γ is a path between L_0 and L_1 and $[u]$ is a homotopy between γ and some fixed base path $*$. Then $\mathcal{A}(\gamma, [u]) = \int u^* \omega$, and for v a vector field along γ,

$$(1) \qquad d\mathcal{A}(\gamma) \cdot v = \int_{[0,1]} \omega(\dot{\gamma}, v) dt = \int_{[0,1]} g(J\gamma; v) dt = \langle J\dot{\gamma}, v \rangle_{L^2}$$

The critical points are contant paths $\dot{\gamma} = 0$, and the gradient flow lines are J-holomorphic curves $\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial s} = -J\dot{\gamma}$.

However, no one has managed to run this Morse theory rigorously. The actual setup assumes L_0, L_1 are transverse, and as before, define the Novikov ring as $\Lambda = \{\sum a_i T^{\lambda_i} \mid \lambda_i \to \infty\}$ and the *Floer complex* $CF(L_0, L_1)$ as the free Λ-module $\Lambda^{|L_0 \cap L_1|}$ generated by $L_0 \cap L_1$. We look at $u : \mathbb{R} \times [0,1] \to M$ equipped with a compatible almost-complex structure J s.t.

- $\bar{\partial}_J u = 0$, or $\frac{\partial u}{\partial s} + J\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = 0$.
- $u(s, 0) \in L_0, u(s, 1) \in L_1$
- $\lim_{s \to +\infty} u(s, t) = p, \lim_{s \to -\infty} u(s, t) = q$ for $\{p, q\} \subset L_0 \cap L_1$
- $E(u) = \int u^* \omega = \int \int_{\mathbb{R} \times [0,1]} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial s} \right|^2 ds dt < \infty$.

We consider the space of solutions $\mathcal{M}(p, q, [u], J)$ for fixed $p, q \in L_0 \cap L_1$, $[u]$ a homotopy class as above, and J a given almost-complex structure. The above problem is a Fredholm problem, and the expected dimension of $\mathcal{M} = \text{ind}(\bar{\partial}_J)$ is called the *Maslov index*. The Maslov index comes from $\pi_1(\bigwedge \text{Gr}) = \mathbb{Z}$. Explicitly, let $L_0, L_1(t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ be Lagrangian subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ s.t. $L_1(0), L_1(1)$ intersect L_0 transversely. The Maslov index of $(L_1(t); L_0)$ is the number of times that $L_1(t)$ is non-transverse to L_0 with mutlipliticities and signs. For instance, for $L_0 = \mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, $L_1(t) = (e^{i\theta_1(t)} \mathbb{R}) \times \cdots \times (e^{i\theta_n(t)} \mathbb{R})$ with all θ_i's increasing past 0, the Maslov index is n. In general, given a homotopy u, we can trivialize $u^* TM$, and $u^*|_{\mathbb{R} \times 0}(TL_0), u^*|_{\mathbb{R} \times 1}(TL_1)$ are 2 paths of Lagrangian subspaces. We can trivialize

1

so that TL_0 remains constant, and $\text{ind}(u)$ is the Maslov index of the path TL_1 relative to TL_0 as one goes from p to q.

Now, we want to define

$$\partial(p) = \sum_{\substack{q \in L_0 \cap L_1 \\ \phi \in \pi_2(M, L_0 \cup L_1) \\ \text{ind}(\phi) = 1}} \#(\mathcal{M}(p, q, \phi, J)/\mathbb{R})T^{\omega(\phi)} \cdot q$$

(2)

The issues that arise are: transversality, compactness and bubbling, the orientation of $\mathcal{M}$, and whether $\partial^2 = 0$.

Theorem 1. *If $[\omega] \cdot \pi_2(M) = 0$ and $[\omega] \cdot \pi_2(M, L_i) = 0$, then ∂ is well-defined, $\partial^2 = 0$, and $HF(L_0, L_1) = H^*(CF, \partial)$ is independent of the chosen J and invariant under Hamiltonian isotopies of L_0 and/or L_1.*

Corollary 1. *If $[\omega] \cdot \pi_2(M, L) = 0$ and ψ is a Hamiltonian diffeomorphism s.t. $\psi(L), L$ are transverse, $\#(\psi(L) \cap L) \geq \sum b_i(L)$.*

This is a special case of Arnold's conjecture: the rough idea is that $H^*(L) \cong HF(L, \psi(L))$ and $\text{rk}\, CF \geq \text{rk}\, HF$.

Example. Consider $T^*S_1 \cong \mathbb{R} \times S^1$, with $L_0 = \{(0, \theta) \,|\, \theta \in S^1 = [0, 2\pi)\}, L_1 = \{(a \sin \theta + b, \theta)\}$. Then $L_0 \cap L_1 = \{p, q\}$, and the region between them decomposes into disks u, v. Then $CF(L_0, L_1) = \bigwedge p \oplus \bigwedge q, \partial(p) = (T^{area(u)} - T^{area(v)})q, \partial(q) = 0$. In this case ($c_1(TM) = 0$, as is the Maslov class of L_i), $\exists$ a $\mathbb{Z}$ grading on CF (because the index is independent of $[u]$), e.g. $\deg p = 0, \deg q = 1$. We have two cases:

- if $area(u) = area(v)$, then $\partial = 0, HF(L_0, L_1) \cong H^*(S^1, \Lambda)$.
- if $area(u) \neq area(v)$, then $HF(L_0, L_1) = 0$.

Return to our issues, one can achieve transversality for simple maps by picking J generic, but for multiply covered maps, we need sophisticated techniques such as domain-dependent J, multivalued perturbations, virtual cycles, or Kuranishi structures. To obtain an orientation of the moduli space, we need auxiliary data, e.g. a spin structure on L_0, L_1. For compactness, the Gromov compactness theorem implies that, given an energy bound, compactness holds after adding limiting configurations. There are three types of phenomena:

- Bubbling of spheres: if $|du_n| \to \infty$ at an interior point, the resulting limit is a spherical bubble. The treatment is the same as in Gromov-Witten invariants, and in good cases (if transversality is achieved), the congurations with sphere bubbles have real codimension ≥ 2 in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$.
- Bubbling of disks: if $|du_n| \to \infty$ at a boundary point, the resulting limit is a disk bubble at the boundary. Even assuming transversality, the space of these will have real codimension 1 in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$.

- Breaking of strips: if energy escapes towards $s \to \pm\infty$, i.e. reparameterizing $u_n(\cdot - \delta_n, \cdot)$ for $|\delta_n| \to \infty$ gives different limits, the resulting limit is a sequence of holomorphic strips (that is, what was a single holomorphic strip with progressively thinning "necks" becomes several separate strips).

Finally, we want to have $\partial^2 = 0$. Asuming no bubbling, we consider $\mathcal{M}(p, q, \phi, J)/\mathbb{R}$ for J generic, $\phi \in \pi_2, \mathrm{ind}(\phi) = 2$. We expect a one-dimensional manifold, which is compactified by adding broken trajectories, i.e.

$$\tag{3} \bigsqcup_{\substack{r \in L_0 \cap L_1 \\ \phi_1 \# \phi_2 = \phi}} (\mathcal{M}(p, r, \phi_1, J)/\mathbb{R}) \times (\mathcal{M}(p, r, \phi_2, J)/\mathbb{R})$$

The gluing theorem states that the resulting $\overline{\mathcal{M}(p, q, \phi, J)/\mathbb{R}}$ is a manifold with boundary. Now, the number of ends of a compact oriented 1-manifold is 0, and thus so are the contributions to the coefficients of $T^{\omega(\phi)}q$ in $\partial^2(p)$.