

## 22.38 - PS#7 Solutions

$$5.3) f_h(h) = \frac{h}{\alpha^2} e^{-1/2 (h/\alpha)^2}$$

given the wave height measurements, the likelihood function is:

$$\begin{aligned} L(h_1, \dots, h_n, \alpha) &= \prod_i \frac{h}{\alpha^2} e^{-1/2 (h/\alpha)^2} \\ &= \left( \prod_i h \right) \left( \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \right)^n e^{-1/2 \alpha^2 \cdot \sum h_i^2} \end{aligned}$$

to maximize  $L$  by optimizing  $\alpha$ :  $dL/d\alpha = 0$

$$\frac{dL}{d\alpha} = \prod_i h_i \left[ (-2n \alpha^{-(2n+1)} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha^2} \sum h_i^2}) + (\alpha^{-2n} \left( -\frac{\sum h_i^2}{\alpha^2} e^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha^2} \sum h_i^2} \right)) \right] = 0$$

$$\sum h_i^2 = 80.4, \quad \prod_i h_i = 16341; \quad n = 20$$

$$\text{solving for } \alpha: \alpha^2 = \frac{80.4}{20} = 4.02 \Rightarrow \alpha = \underline{2.005}$$

$$5.5) a) \text{ sample mean: } \bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n v_i = \underline{76.5}$$

$$\text{sample variance: } s^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - n\bar{x}^2 \right) = \underline{14.27} \Rightarrow s = 3.78$$

b) For 99% confidence interval  $(.005, .995) = P(x)$

$$\phi(z) = .995 \Rightarrow z = 2.58; \text{ assume } s \sim \sigma$$

$$\text{interval: } -2.58 \leq \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{s/\sqrt{n}} \leq 2.58 \Rightarrow \underline{71.3 \leq \mu \leq 78.7}$$

$$c) \xi_v^2 = \ln \left( 1 + \frac{s_v^2}{\bar{x}_v^2} \right) = .0024$$

$$\lambda_v = \ln \bar{x} - \frac{1}{2} \xi_v^2$$

$\Rightarrow$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \xi &= .049 \\ \lambda &= 4.34 \end{aligned} \right\}$$

5.10)  $\bar{X} = 20$  kips ;  $\sigma = 3$  kips ; 90% confidence ;  $n = 9$   
assume normal dist.

a)  $\phi(z) = .95 \Rightarrow z = 1.64$

$$-1.64 \leq \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \leq 1.64 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \underline{18.36 \leq \mu \leq 21.64}$$

b)  $\phi(z) = .975 \Rightarrow z = 1.96$

$$\frac{\bar{X} - 18.36}{\sigma/\sqrt{9+n}} = 1.96 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{solving for } n = 3.85 \quad \therefore \underline{\text{need 4 more samples for a 95\% confidence}}$$

c) if the standard deviation is unknown, then we turn to the  $t$ -test, ~~data~~ because  $n < 10$  (so we can't assume  $S \approx \sigma$ ):

$$S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 = \frac{1}{8} (84.5) = 10.56$$

$$P(-t_{\alpha/2, n-1} < \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{S/\sqrt{n}} < t_{\alpha/2, n-1}) = 1 - \alpha \quad \Rightarrow \quad \alpha = .1 ; \alpha/2 = .05 \quad \text{for 90\% confidence}$$

$$t_{.05, 8} = 1.86 \quad \Rightarrow \quad -1.86 < \frac{20 - \mu}{\sqrt{\frac{10.56}{9}}} < 1.86 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \underline{\mu_{90\%} = (22.015; 17.985)}$$

5.14) assuming a normal distribution of the measurements,

$$a) \bar{r}_1 = \sum_i r_{1i} \cdot \frac{1}{n} = \underline{2.5} ; S_1^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum r_{1i}^2 - n \bar{r}_1^2 = .01$$

$$\text{standard error: } \sigma_{r_1} \approx S_1 / \sqrt{n} = \underline{.045 \text{ cm}}$$

$$r_2: \bar{r}_2 = 1.5 ; S_2^2 = .01 ; \text{standard error } \sigma_{r_2} = \underline{.045 \text{ cm}}$$

$$b) \bar{A} = \pi (\bar{r}_1^2 - \bar{r}_2^2) = \pi (2.5^2 - 1.5^2) = \underline{12.566 \text{ cm}^2}$$

$$c) A = \pi (r_1^2 - r_2^2) \Rightarrow \text{Var} A = \pi^2 (\text{Var}(r_1^2) + \text{Var}(r_2^2))$$

$$\text{Var}(r^2) \approx \text{Var}(r) \left( \frac{d(r^2)}{dr} \right)^2$$

$$\rightarrow \text{Var}(r_1^2) = \text{Var}(r_1) (2r_1)^2 = 4(2.5)^2 \cdot (.045)^2 = .051$$

$$\text{Var}(r_2^2) = \text{Var}(r_2) (2r_2)^2 = 4(1.5)^2 \cdot (.045)^2 = .0182$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Var} A = \pi^2 (.051 + .0182) = .679$$

$$\Rightarrow \sigma_A = \sqrt{\text{Var} A} = \underline{.82 \text{ cm}^2}$$

d) for  $\bar{r}_1 - \mu_1 = \pm .07 \text{ cm}$  with 99% confidence

for  $n < 10$  & unknown  $\sigma$ , use  $t$ -test

$$\frac{\bar{r}_1 - \mu}{S / \sqrt{n}} = t_{.995, n-1} \Rightarrow \frac{.07}{.1} = \sqrt{n} \cdot t_{.995, n-1}$$

iteration gives  $n \approx 17$  trials needed

$$17 - 5 = \underline{12 \text{ additional trials}}$$

6.7) from part a, expect (assume) an exponential distribution with  $\lambda = 0 \Rightarrow F(x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda t}$

b) Minimum time to failure = 0 hrs  
 $MTTF = \frac{1}{n} \sum t_i = \underline{626.2 \text{ hrs}}$

c)

Interval	Observed Freq	Expected Freq	$(n-e)^2/e$
<100	9	5.903795762	1.623782575
100-300	9	9.322090973	0.011128683
300-700	8	11.6948799	1.16736021
700-1000	7	4.978573146	0.820750526
>1000	7	8.100660221	0.1495499
			<b>3.772571893</b> = chi-squared value

Chi-squared value for 1% significance and  $f=(5-2)$  3 degrees of freedom:  
**11.3**

$3.77 < 11.3 \therefore$  an exponential distribution is a good fit for the given data

6.8)

Interval	Observed Freq	$P(x)$	Expected Freq	$(n-e)^2/e$
0	6	0.301194212	6.023884238	9.46992E-05
1	8	0.361433054	7.228661086	0.082306213
>2	6	0.337372734	6.747454676	0.082799888
				<b><u>0.165200801</u></b>

where  $P(x) = \frac{(\nu t)^x}{x!} e^{-\nu t}$  ;  $\nu = 1.2$

$\chi^2$ -value for 1% significance and  $f = (3-2) = 1$  is 31.82

0.165 << 31.82  $\therefore$  a Poisson distribution is a very good fit.