

22.51 Quantum Theory of Radiation Interactions

Problem set # 5

Issued on Wednesday Nov 7, 2012. Due on Wednesday Nov. 21, 2012

Problem 1: Displacement operator

The operator $D(z) = \exp(za^\dagger - z^*a)$, for a harmonic oscillator or a single-mode field, is a displacement operator in the phase space (q, p) , given by dimensionless position and momentum

$$q = \frac{a + a^\dagger}{\sqrt{2}} \quad p = \frac{i(a - a^\dagger)}{\sqrt{2}}$$

- a) (Solved) Show this by calculating $D(z)qD^\dagger(z)$, and $D(z)pD^\dagger(z)$. How would you interpret this result?
- b) Determine the composition law for the product of two successive displacements in phase space, $D(z_1)D(z_2)$. Why is this result not simply equal to $D(z_1 + z_2)$?
- c) (Solved) Show that the coherent state can be obtained by applying the displacement operator the $|0\rangle$ number state: $|\alpha\rangle = D(\alpha)|0\rangle$

Problem 2: Squeezed states

The squeezing operator is defined by $S(r) = \exp(\frac{1}{2}(ra^2 - r^*(a^\dagger)^2))$.

- a) Consider its action on a coherent state $|\alpha\rangle = D(\alpha)|0\rangle$. Show that for any real value of r , the vector $|\alpha, r\rangle_{ss} = S(r)|\alpha\rangle$ describes a state of minimum uncertainty in p, q . This state is known as a scaling squeezed state.
- b) Let now $S(r)$ act on the vacuum state, before the displacement operator is applied: $|\alpha, r\rangle_{cs} = D(\alpha)S(r)|0\rangle$. This is a coherent squeezed state. Calculate again the uncertainties in p and q .
[Hint: $S(r)|\alpha\rangle$ is the eigenvector of what operator? You may want to calculate $S(r)aS^\dagger(r)$, $S(r)a^\dagger S^\dagger(r)$, using the BCH formula.]

Problem 3: Damped oscillator

Consider a harmonic oscillator governed by the usual hamiltonian $H = \hbar\omega(a^\dagger a + \frac{1}{2})$. A simple phase damping model for this oscillator uses a single Lindblad operator $L_1 = \sqrt{\gamma}a^\dagger a$.

- a) What is the evolution of the density operator in the (most convenient) interaction picture? Find the evolution of the system for an arbitrary initial state

$$\rho_I(0) = \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} c_{n,m}(0)|n\rangle\langle m| \tag{1}$$

- b) What is the evolution of the position (and momentum) operators in the Heisenberg picture? [Hint: the Lindblad equation in the Heisenberg picture is given by:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = i[\mathcal{H}, x] + \sum_k L_k^\dagger x L_k - \frac{1}{2} (L_k^\dagger L_k x + x L_k^\dagger L_k)$$

and use the commutation relationship to find a simple expression for the differential equation for a and a^\dagger .] How does this evolution compares to the damped classical oscillator?

Problem 4: Thermal radiation

Consider the thermal radiation, that is, the e.m. field at thermal equilibrium at the temperature T (consider just one mode and polarization of the e.m.).

- a) Write a formal expression for the state of the field. Using the number state basis, calculate the partition function. [Hint: use the geometrical series]
- b) What is the average number of photons $\langle n \rangle$ in the thermal e.m. field?
Rewrite the expression for the density operator of the thermal field in terms of $\langle n \rangle$.
- c) Plot the probability distribution function of the photon number in the thermal radiation and compare it to the distribution of a coherent state and of a number state with the same photon number average.

Problem 5: Boson operators

Consider the creation and annihilation operators for the e.m. field a_n^\dagger, a_n . They are example of boson operators, obeying the commutation relationships:

$$[a_m, a_n^\dagger] = \delta_{m,n} \mathbb{1}, \quad [a_m, a_n] = [a_m^\dagger, a_n^\dagger] = 0, \quad \forall n, m = 1, \dots, N$$

Let's fix $N = 2$ and consider the operators

$$J_+ = a_1^\dagger a_2, \quad J_- = a_2^\dagger a_1, \quad J_3 = (a_1^\dagger a_1 - a_2^\dagger a_2)/2$$

- a) Find the commutators $[J_+, J_-]$, $[J_3, J_+]$ and $[J_3, J_-]$
- b) Do you see similarities with the operators σ^\pm and σ_z ? What would be the operators equivalent to σ_x and σ_y ?

Problem 6: Eigenstate of the creation operator?

We know that the coherent state is the eigenstate of the annihilation operator. Ever wondered why nobody talks about the eigenstate of the creation operator? It does not exist!

- a) Show that the eigenstate of the creation operator does not exist.
[Hint: try to find the eigenvalue and an expression of the eigenstate in terms of an expansion of number operators, by using the commutation relationship with the number operator]

MIT OpenCourseWare
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

22.51 Quantum Theory of Radiation Interactions
Fall 2012

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.