

**PROFESSOR:** I want to demonstrate two ways in which you can see phase shift. So basically, the reason we use phase shift is that these are the things that you can calculate. Calculating phase shifts is possible. So how do you do that?

We'll be a two-step procedure. We'll only finish that next time. But let's get started. So suppose you have your wave again. And for a fixed  $l$ , a given partial wave, this is the full solution for this scattering problem. It is an  $A_l J_l(kr) + b_l Y_l(kr)$ . That's your solution.

The signal that you got scattering, and you have something right here, is the existence of this term, because when there's no potential and no scattering, the solution is valid all the way to  $r$  equals 0, and therefore, this has no singularity. But this term is saying that this solution doesn't extend all the way to  $r$  equals 0, because this diverges.

So something un-trivial is happening. So  $b_l$  is the signal that they're scattering. Now, expand for large  $R$ . So this is proportional to  $A_l \sin(kr - l\pi/2) + b_l \cos(kr - l\pi/2)$ . It's the same. I'm going to drop all constants very fast.

Now, here is my claim. You were thinking of phase shifts. Well, the phase shift is nothing else than  $b_l/A_l$  is minus the tangent of the phase shift. This is a claim. Or you could say this is another definition of a phase shift, and I'm going to argue that it's the same, actually, than what we did.

To do that, I have to just expand a little more. So what I'm going to do is divide by  $a$ , so take the  $a$  out,  $akr$ . Now you have  $\sin(kr - l\pi/2) + (b_l/A_l) \cos(kr - l\pi/2)$ .  $b_l/A_l$  is tangent  $\delta_l$ , so  $\tan \delta_l \cos(kr - l\pi/2)$ .

And that is proportional to  $a \over kr \tan \delta_l$  is  $\sin$  over  $\cos$ . So let's put the  $1$  over  $\cos \delta_l$  here,  $\sin(kr - l\pi/2) \cos \delta_l + \cos(kr - l\pi/2) \sin \delta_l$ .

So that ratio tangent, I put a  $\sin$  over  $\cos$ , I have it here. But this, your favorite trigonometric identity, is equal to  $\sin(kr - l\pi/2 + \delta_l)$ .

So if this is the phase shift, the solution looks like this far away, a  $\sin(kr - l\pi/2 + \delta_l)$ . That's one way of identifying the phase shift. But I want to show that's the same

phase shift we had before, but that's clear already. Up to constants, this is-- I'm sorry,  $y_{l0}$  of theta. And up to constants, this is  $e^{i(kr - \frac{l\pi}{2} + \delta)}$  minus  $e^{i(kr - \frac{l\pi}{2} - \delta)}$ .

And now I drop everything else. And now I multiply or take out this phase, take it out. I'm just working up to proportionality, which is all you care at this moment. And this is  $e^{i(kr - \frac{l\pi}{2})}$ .

If I take that out, this becomes  $e^{2i\delta}$ . And this becomes  $e^{-i(kr - \frac{l\pi}{2})}$ . And those are the waves we had before, somewhere here, here. Here they are. You see them? Still, they were here. This wave and that wave with delta here has showed up.

So this delta that I've defined here is the same phase shift we introduced before. So now you have three ways of recognizing a phase shift. A phase shift can be recognized in the partial wave expansion. A phase shift can be recognized by looking at the scattering wave far away and seeing that it takes this form, and you say, oh, here is the phase shift.

And the phase shift can be recognized by looking at the solution in terms of spherical Bessel functions, and it's the ratio of these coefficients. Those are the three definitions of the phase shift, three ways of seeing your phase shift. Instead of elaborating more on this, let's do one example to convince you that this is solvable and doable in fact.

So the example is a hard sphere example. This is the object that you're scattering off. The potential is equal to infinity for  $r < a$  and 0 for  $r > a$ . This is the origin and radius  $a$  sphere, the waves come in.

You want the cross-section. OK. It might look like this is hard, OK. How are we ever going to solve this? In fact, will be very easy. We have everything ready to solve. So let's remember what we have. Well, there's going to be a radial solution,  $R_l$ . Remember  $R_l$  is  $U_l$  over  $R$ . And that takes the form  $A_l J_l(kr)$  plus  $B_l N_l(kr)$ .

That is the general solution for a radial thing, and therefore your general solution for your wave function or of theta is what we were writing here, except that the superposition of them. So I said here is how you recognize what is the phase shift for a given partial wave, but we're going to have all the partial wave. So this is going to be the sum over  $l$  of these things,  $A_l J_l(kr)$  plus  $B_l N_l(kr)$  times  $P_l(\cos\theta)$ .

I could write  $y = I_0$ , but that's up to a constant  $P_l$ . So that's your general solution. And in fact, I didn't even have to write this, because you have there on that blackboard, that's the general solution for the full wave away from this sphere that's not valid for  $R$  less than 0. But this is your full solution for a given partial wave for all the waves it's there.

OK, so that equation solves a problem.  $P_l$  of cosine theta, yes. It's really better written like that. Yes, that's more rigorous. Yes. OK, so what do we do now? Somehow you have to use it. You have a sphere. We haven't used a sphere yet. So what does the sphere tell you? It tells you that the wave function must vanish on the sphere, because it's infinitely hard.

It's like an infinite wall. So this wave function should vanish  $\psi$  at a theta, which is equal to  $\sum A_l J_l(ka) + B_l N_l(ka) \cos^l \theta$  should be 0.

OK. One equation for infinitely many unknowns, but the  $P_l$ 's are a complete set. If you expand the function of theta in terms of  $P_l$ 's, you can determine every coefficient. They're linearly independent, the  $P_l$ 's. So if you can think of this, this is an  $a$ . This is just a number. So this is a sum of numbers times  $P_l$ 's must be 0. All the numbers must be 0, because the  $P_l$ 's are independent.

Therefore, here we have that  $a A_l J_l(ka) + B_l N_l(ka) \cos^l \theta$  must be 0 for all  $l$ . And therefore, tangent delta  $l$ , which is minus  $B_l$  over  $A_l$  has been determined. The tangent delta  $l$  is  $B_l$  over  $A_l$ , and that's equal to-- it's  $J_l(ka)$  over  $N_l(ka)$ .

Done. All phase shifts computed. The Bessel functions are known. You look them up. You calculate them to any accuracy. But you have here all the phase shifts. Therefore, you have the cross section of this sphere. You have the differential cross section. You have anything you want. It has all been determined. We can do a little bit of algebra if you want to calculate what the sine squared delta of something.

Sine squared can be expressed in terms of tan squared. It's  $\tan^2 \delta_l / (1 + \tan^2 \delta_l)$ . So it's our  $J_l^2$  over  $J_l^2 + N_l^2$ . Tan  $l$ , you substitute this, and you get that. Therefore, the cross section can be calculated, and the differential cross section can be calculated. It's pretty interesting.

Let's do it here. The cross section, remember  $\sigma$  is  $4\pi/k$ ,  $\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) \sin^2 \delta_l$ . So  $J_l^2(ka)$  over  $J_l^2(ka) + N_l^2(ka)$

squared of  $ka$ .

So that's your full cross section. If  $k$  is very small,  $ka$  much less than 1, that's small  $k$ . That's small energy, long wavelength approximation. This formula is interesting for high energy, for low energy, for intermediate energies. The angular dependence is interesting. It's a lot of things you could look at. Let's look at low energy.

You need the expansions of these quantities for small things, and they're easy to find. Basically, this remains finite. This becomes infinite. It dominates it. It's not difficult. I'll write what  $1$  gets. It's  $4\pi$  over  $k$  squared, sum over  $l$  equals  $0$  to infinity,  $1$  over  $2l + 1$  squared times  $l!$  factorial  $2l$  factorial. So a mess of factorials. I'm sorry.  $ka$  to the  $4l + 2$ .

And here is to the question of convergence if  $ka$  is much smaller than 1, in this case-- this was the approximation-- that will surely converge. The powers go up and up. So for  $l$  equals  $0$ , it is interesting, is the dominant one. So  $l$  equals  $0$  only  $\sigma$  turns out to be  $4\pi$  over  $k$  squared. And all these factors just give you a  $1$  for  $l$  equals  $0$ . The  $ka$  squared, a squared,  $ka$  squared, which is  $4\pi a$  squared, which is a pretty cute answer.

This is the low frequency cross section. If it's a long wavelength approximation, the cross section of the object is not the apparent cross section, which is the diameter, but it's actually the full area of the sphere  $4\pi a$  squared. People try to imagine why this is. It's almost like the wavelength is so big that the wave wraps around the sphere and gets stuck there. So the sphere captures proportional to the area of the whole thing. So we'll see more of that next time.