

PROFESSOR: OK, so we're good to consider, therefore, the two cases. So let's consider the first case, that this can be pretty important, this case one, when $\omega_f + \omega$ is nearly 0. In this case, what's happening? You have $E_f - E_i + \hbar\omega$ is nearly 0, or E_f roughly equal to $E_i - \hbar\omega$.

So what has happened if you have a number-- so the question is, when this happens, which means that your ω -- that is, your perturbation-- is tailored to produce this, then E_f is $E_i - \hbar\omega$. So you can think of the energy scale here. And E_f is lower than E_i . And the difference is $\hbar\omega$.

So what is this process? This process is called stimulated emission. And why is that called stimulated emission? Because you're going from a state of energy E_i to a state of energy E_f that has lower energy. In that process, you're releasing energy $\hbar\omega$ to the perturbation.

So it's almost-- you would say it's stimulated because the perturbation did it. Still, it almost seems like something the system would do by itself. It has higher energy. It can go to lower energy and emit something with frequency with energy $\hbar\omega$. But it does that only because there is a perturbation. If there was no perturbation, this would not happen.

That's why, say if you consider a hydrogen atom or a system, it's not that the electron that is in a higher state just jumps by itself down. It jumps by itself out because it can couple to other degrees of freedom. And in particular, it can couple to an electromagnetic field and send out the photon. So here, you have stimulated emission of energy $\hbar\omega$. So stimulated emission-- emission.

And then the other term corresponds to the case when $\omega_f - \omega$ is equal to 0, in which case E_f is equal $E_i + \hbar\omega$. This time, E_f is higher than $E_i + \hbar\omega$. And this process is called absorption-- absorption.

You absorb energy $\hbar\omega$ from the perturbation. The perturbation is capable of giving the system energy $\hbar\omega$ to enable a transition between E_i and E_f . So this harmonic perturbation has two tricks up its sleeve. It can push you up by giving you energy, or it can stimulate you to go to a lower state and give energy to the system represented by the perturbation. So two good things it can do, and both cases are pretty important.

So we're going to develop one of these cases. The other one has exactly the same formulas. And we need the Fermi golden rule for this situation. So that's what I'll do right now.

To fix our notation, let's just do the case of absorption. But both are going to be taken care simultaneously. So absorption-- so what is C?

So when you're doing absorption, you're saying, OK. I'm basically having this process in which E_f is related to E_i in this way. This term is becoming 0. And this term is negligible. So you can completely ignore one term when you're doing absorption. And you can ignore the other term when you're doing a stimulated emission.

You would say, oh, but it's not exact. What about if I keep it? Well, there are many things that we don't do exact. This term is much bigger than the other-- in principle, infinitely bigger-- because we're going to be integrated over a narrow set of states. So it would make no sense to keep those other things. Those other terms would be much smaller, probably, at even the next order of perturbation theory. So we keep, therefore, the second term. And so what do we have?

C_{fi} of t naught $1 - \frac{H_{fi}'}{\hbar} e^{i(\omega_{fi} - \omega)t/2}$. You don't see that term, of course. But I'm going to take out half of this phase so that I get a sine function out here. So I took out half of the phase. And then I get $2i \sin(\omega_{fi} - \omega)t/2$. OK, that's this thing.

So the probability to go from initial to final state at time t naught would be the C_{fi} of t naught squared. And that's $4|H_{fi}'|^2$, because of the two here. It's $4|H_{fi}'|^2 \sin^2(\omega_{fi} - \omega)t/2$ over \hbar^2 . And this is all for E_f roughly equal to $E_i + \hbar\omega$.

So I didn't do much. I really didn't do all that much so far. I just took the second term, rewrote it with a sine, and calculated its norm squared. That's the probability to go from initial to final states.

So the last step is integrating over a final state. So you have this sum over final states of this probability to go to the final state. And we'll write it as the integral over the set of states ρ_f of E_f dE_f probability final to initial of t naught.

And this is the same calculation we were doing before. And for this calculation, we just

substitute what P_{fi} is. We assume that as we integrate, we're going to have a narrow range so that this function, $\rho(E_f)$ and H_{fi}' can go out of the integral. So what are we going to have?

I'll write it here. $\frac{4}{\hbar^2}$ from the matrix element, from this thing-- $H_{fi}'^2$ over \hbar^2 . That goes out.

Then the $\rho(E_f)$ goes out. So I'll write it $\rho(E_f)$. And rather than leaving it like E_f , like that, because it seems like a variable, still, of integration-- if I take it out, I should be explicit what this E_f is. And in this case, E_f -- we're doing absorption. So this is equal to $E_i + \hbar\omega$.

That's this central contribution. And that's where you're taking ρ out. So this is pretty important. This ρ is evaluated at the final energy, which in this process is $\hbar\omega$ in addition to E_i . And then the rest of the integral dE_f -- you still have dE_f -- and the sine function. So sine squared of $\omega_f - \omega$ over t_0 , I'm sorry. I'm missing t_0 everywhere. But I did copy it. t_0 and t_0 's up there. $\frac{2}{\omega_f - \omega}$ over $\omega_f - \omega$ squared.

And this is the story of the lobes. This is the function that has the lobes as a function of E_f . As a function of E_f , this quantity varies and starts developing the lobes. And the lobes happen for values of E_f that are separated by some \hbar divided by t_0 .

So this is the exact same integral we analyzed for the constant transitions. And it is the same integral that we argued that could be done as a sine squared x over x squared. So I will not do it again. This integral gives \hbar linear in t_0 over 2π .

When you study this, you will have the notes and you can review it. If you've taken notes, you will see that is exactly the same integral we had last time, except that we didn't have the ω , which really doesn't change things. It just shifts the zero. So the peak of this contribution is when ω_f is equal to ω . Before when we did it was when ω_f was equal to 0. That's why, in the constant transitions you conserved energy.

So with this result in there, the whole answer here is $\frac{2\pi}{\hbar}$ -- \hbar only because one \hbar cancels, indeed-- $\rho(E_f)$ at $E_f = E_i + \hbar\omega$ $H_{fi}'^2$ times t_0 . That's all that is left, which is great because that is our Fermi's golden rule. Remember, that's a transition probability. And the rate is obtained by dividing this by the time that has elapsed to find the probability per unit time.

That's the rate. It's probability for transition per unit, times 2π over \hbar at E_f equal E_i plus $\hbar\omega$ times the matrix element $f H'$ initial squared. That's Fermi's golden rule for harmonic transitions, and in particular, for absorption.

But stimulated emission, the calculation is completely analogous. So the end result for stimulated emission is just minus $H\omega$. The final energy now is this one. So the top sine-- plus is for absorption, minus for stimulated emission.

And if you want a reminder here, it was that ΔH was $2H' \cos \omega t$. So this reminds you that this H' you have here is the matrix element of the perturbation with this convention. This ω you have here is the frequency of the perturbing Hamiltonian. And that's what has happened.

So this is Fermi's golden rule. It's over. We've done it, done it basically for two cases-- the constant perturbation and the harmonic perturbation. And there's a lot of physics here that we will be exploring starting now, but continuing with atoms and radiation in general, atomic transitions.

W is the rate. So this is called the transition rate per unit time-- transition rate per unit time. So the probability of transition or probability of transition per unit time-- probability of transition per unit time-- that's probably more understandable than the word rate. So this P_{fi} gives you the probability of transition after a time t naught. Happily, it's proportional to t naught, so you divide by the time that has elapsed, and it's a probability of transition per unit time. So once you compute this number, you get the probability of transition per unit time. So if this W is something and you have a billion atoms, you multiply that probability by the number of atoms you have, and you know how many have decayed already.