

PROFESSOR: Today, we continue with our discussion of WKB. So a few matters regarding the WKB were explained in the last few segments. We discussed there would be useful to define a position-dependent momentum for a particle that's moving in a potential. That was a completely classical notion, but helped our terminology in solving the Schrodinger equation and set up the stage for some definitions. For example, a position-dependent de Broglie wavelength that would be given in terms of the position-dependent momentum by the classic formula that de Broglie used for particles that move with constant momentum.

Then in this language, the time independent Schrodinger equation took the form $p^2 \psi = E \psi$. This is $p^2 \psi = E \psi$. And we mentioned that it was kind of nice that the momentum operator ended up sort of in the style of an eigenvalue. The eigenvalue p^2 .

We then spoke about wave functions that in the WKB approximation would take a form of an exponential with a phase and a magnitude-- so the usual notation we have for complex numbers. So the $\psi(x)$ would be written as $\rho(x) e^{i S(x)/\hbar}$. That's a typical form of a WKB wave function that you will see soon.

And for such wave functions, it's kind of manifest that ρ here is the charge density. Because if you take the norm squared of ψ , that gives you exactly ρ . The phase cancels. On the other hand, the computation of the current was a little more interesting. And it gave you ρ times gradient of S over m -- the mass of the particle. So we identified the current as perpendicular to the surfaces of constant S or constant phase in the exponent of the WKB equation.

Our last comment had to do with λ . And we've said that we suspect that the semiclassical approximation is valid in some way when λ is small compared to a physical length of a system or when λ changes slowly as a function of position. And those things we have not quite yet determined precisely how they go.

And some of what you have to do today is understand more concretely the nature of the approximation. So the semiclassical approximation has something to do with λ slowly varying and with λ small, in some sense. And since you have an \hbar in here that would make it small if \hbar is small, we also mentioned we would end up considering the limit as a

sort of imaginary or fictitious limit in which \hbar goes to 0.

So it's time to try to really do the approximation. Let's try to write something and approximate the solution. Now, we had a nice instructive form of the wave function there, but I will take a simpler form in which the wave function will be just a pure exponential.

So setting the approximation scheme-- so approximation-- scheme. So before I had the wave function that had a norm and a phase. Now, I want the wave function that looks like it just has a phase. You would say, of course, that's impossible. So we will for all the time independent Schrodinger equation.

So we will use an s of x . And we will write the ψ of x in the form $e^{i\hbar s}$ of x . And you say, no, that's not true. My usual wave functions are more than just phases. They're not just phases. We've seen wave functions have different magnitudes. Here that wave function will have always density equal to 1.

But that is voided-- that criticism is voided-- if you just simply say that s now may be a complex number. So if s is itself a complex number, the imaginary part of s provides the norm of the wave function. So it's possible to do that. Any ρ up here can be written as the exponential of the log of something and then can be brought in the exponent. And there's no loss of generality in writing something like that if s is complex.

Now, we have the Schrodinger equation. And the Schrodinger equation was written there. So it's $-\hbar^2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \psi$ of x . It's equal to p^2 of x $e^{i\hbar s}$ over $\hbar s$.

Now, when we differentiate an exponential, we differentiate it two times. We will have a couple of things. We can differentiate the first time-- brings an s' down. And the second time you can differentiate the exponent or you can differentiate what is down already. So it's two terms. The first one would be-- imagine differentiating the first one. The s goes down and then the derivative acts on the s . So you get i over $\hbar s''$. Let's use prime notation.

And the other one is when you differentiate the ones here. It brings the factor down, again, there. So it's $+i$ over $\hbar s'^2$. And then the phase is still there, and it can cancel between the left and the right. So this is equal to p^2 of x .

So I took the derivative and cancelled the exponentials. So cleaning this up a little bit, we'll have this term over here. The \hbar 's cancel, the sine cancels, and you get s' of x squared minus $i \hbar s''$. It's equal to p^2 of x .

OK. Simple enough. We have a derivation of that equation. And the first thing that you say is, it looks like we've gone backwards. We've gone from a reasonably simple equation, the Schrodinger equation-- second order, linear differential equation-- to a nonlinear equation. Here is the function squared, the derivative squared, second derivative, no-- there's nothing linear about this equation in s . If you have one solution for an s and another solution, you cannot add them.

So this happens because we put everything in the exponential. When you take double derivatives of an exponential you get a term with double derivatives and a term with a derivative squared. There's nothing you can do. And this still represents progress in some way, even though it has become an equation that looks more difficult. It can be tracked in some other way.

So the first and most important thing we want to say about this equation is that it's a nonlinear differential equation. The \hbar term appears in just one position here. And let's consider a following claim-- I will claim that $i \hbar s''$ -- this term-- is small when v of x is slowly varying. You see, we're having in mind the situation with which a particle is moving in a potential-- a quantum particle.

So there it s , b of x is well-defined. That's a term that goes into this equation. So this is partly known. You may not know the energy, but the potential you know. And my claim-- and perhaps a very important claim about this equation that sets you going clearly-- is that when v of s is slowly varying, this term is almost irrelevant. That's the first thing we want to make sure we understand.

So let's take v of x is equal to v_0 at constant. So this is the extreme case of a slowly varying potential. It just doesn't vary at all. In that case, p of x is going to be a constant. And that constant is the square root of $2m e$ minus V_0 .

And what do we have here? We have a free particle. This v of x is a constant. So the solution of the Schrodinger equation, that you know in general, is that ψ of x is e to the $i p_0 x$ over \hbar . That solves the Schrodinger equation.

Now, we're talking about this equation. So to connect to that equation in this situation of constant potential, constant momentum in the classical sense, and a free particle with that constant momentum, remember that s is a term here in the exponential. So for this solution here, s of x is equal to $p_0 x$. That's all it is. It's whatever is left when you single out the i over \hbar .

And let's look at that thing. That should be a solution. We've constructed the solution of the momentum equation for constant potential. We've read what s of x is. That should solve this equation.

And how does it manage to solve it? It manages to solve it because s prime of x is equal to p_0 . s double prime of x is equal to 0. And the equation works out with the first term squared-- p_0 squared-- equal to p of x squared-- which is p_0 squared. So this term, first term in the left-hand side, is equal to the right-hand side. This term is identically 0. So when the potential is constant, that term, $i \hbar s$ double prime, plays no role, it's 0.

So the term $i \hbar s$ double prime is equal to 0. So the claim now follows from a fairly intuitive result. If the potential is constant, that term in the solution is 0. If the potential will be extremely, slowly varying, that term should be very small. You cannot expect that the constant potential has a solution. And you now do infinitesimal variation of your potential, and suddenly this term becomes very big.

So for constant v , $i \hbar s$ double prime equal 0. So for slowly varying v of x $i \hbar s$ double prime, it should be small in the sense that this solution is approximately correct. So if we do say that, we've identified the term in the equation that is small when potentials are slowly varying. Therefore, we will take that term as being the small term in that equation. And this will be nicely implemented by considering, as we said, \hbar going to 0, or \hbar as a small parameter.

We will learn, as we do the approximation, how to quantify what something that we call slowly varying is. But we will take \hbar now as a small parameter-- that makes that term small-- and setup an expansion to solve this equation. That is our goal now.

So how do we set it up? We set it up like this-- we say s of x , as you've learned in perturbation theory, is s_0 of x plus $\hbar s_1$ of x -- the first correction-- plus $\hbar^2 s_2$ of x and higher order. Now, s already has units of \hbar -- so s_0 will have units of \hbar too. s_1 , for

example, has no units. So each term has a different set of units.

And that's OK, because \hbar has units. And this will go like 1 over \hbar units and so forth and so on. So we have an expansion. And this we'll call our semiclassical expansion. As we apply now this expansion to that equation, we should treat \hbar as we treated λ in perturbation theory. That is, we imagine that this must be hauled order by order in \hbar , because we imagine we have the flexibility of changing \hbar .

So let's do this. What do we have in the equation? We have s_0' . So the equation has s_0' plus $\hbar s_1'$. Now, I will keep terms up to order \hbar . So I will stop here-- plus order \hbar^2 minus $i \hbar s_0''$. So that should be s_0'' plus order \hbar equal b^2 of x .

So let's organize our terms. We have $s_0'^2$ on the left-hand side. Minus p^2 of x -- I bring the p to the left-hand side-- plus \hbar . So these are terms that have no \hbar .

And when we look at the \hbar from the first term there, we square this thing. So you get the cross product between the s_0' and the s_1' . So you get $2 s_0' s_1'$ the \hbar prime already is there-- s_0'' . And from the second term, you get minus $i s_0''$ plus order \hbar^2 equals 0 .

So I just collected all the terms there. So if we're believing in this expansion, the first thing we should say is that each coefficient in the power series of \hbar is 0 . So we'll get two equations. First one is $s_0'^2$ is equal to p^2 of x . That's one. That's this term equal to 0 .

And here, we get-- let's write it in a way that we solve for the unknown. Supposedly from this first equation we, can solve for s_0' . If you know s_0' , what do you want now to know is s_1' . So let's write this as s_1' is equal to $i s_0''$ over $2 s_0'$. So these are my two equations.