

15.834 Marketing Strategy

- Cases and lectures
- Letter of Complaint

Objectives

- Identify, evaluate, and develop marketing strategies
- Evaluate a firm's opportunities
- Anticipate competitive dynamics
- Evaluate the sustainability of competitive advantages

Target Audience

- Consultants
- Investment Analysts
- Entrepreneurs
- Product Managers

I What Is Marketing Strategy?

What is Marketing Strategy?

Finding Profit Opportunities

Creating Competitive Advantage

Challenging Competitive Advantage

Creating Corporate Advantage

Question:

How can a firm earn sustained, superior returns?


1. Create value
2. Capture value
3. Continue to do this

A Marketing Strategy is an integrated set of choices about how we will create and capture value, over long periods of time.

A good marketing strategy meets three tests

1. External consistency
2. Internal consistency
3. Dynamic consistency

External fit: Finding Profit Opportunities



The Environment shapes, and is shaped by, Marketing Strategy.

Value Creation: Some Definitions

Value created: Customer willingness to pay
 - supplier opportunity cost

Value created by a firm = Value created by all
 - Value created by all others

A player is your competitor if

- customers value your product less when they have hers as well
- suppliers value your business less when they have hers as well

A player is your complementor if


- customers value your product more when they have his as well
- suppliers value your business more when they have his as well

Internal Fit: Creating Competitive Advantage




Good marketing strategies are based on resource advantages and the latter are created by speed or gambles; often in areas where resources are not priced out directly or in the cover of competitor ignorance.

Dynamic Fit: Challenging Competitive Advantage



Upset the industry and resource fits of established competitors.



Corporate Advantage adds value to multiple businesses.

II Finding Profit Opportunities

What is Marketing Strategy?

Finding Profit Opportunities

Creating Competitive Advantage

Challenging Competitive Advantage

Creating Corporate Advantage

Lobsters
Disney
Computer games
Browsers

To craft an effective marketing strategy, you must take account of the environment

- to decide on entry/exit
- to assess the effect of a major change
- to position your firm to succeed in a given environment
- to shape the environment

But the environment is enormously complex

You need structured ways of thinking about it

- to capture the richness
- but separate signal from noise

Some Complementary Approaches

- Classical Economics (Supply and Demand)
- “Old” Industrial Organization Economics (5 forces)
- Non cooperative Game Theory (Oligopoly equilibrium)
- Cooperative Game Theory (Value net)

From Supply/Demand to 5 Forces

- What determines the long run supply/demand balance? (entry/exit barriers)
- What determines the effect of a temporary imbalance on profitability? (price wars/bargaining powers)



We need to know the determinants of the position and shape of the 2 curves.

Using the 5 Forces

- Identify long-run industry profitability
- Identify pressures on profits
- Identify ways to alter industry structure
- Identify attractive positions

From 5 Forces to Non Cooperative Game Theory

- If you are so smart why aren't others?
- What would you pay to get into an attractive industry?



We need to know what the entry barriers are, and who will get over them.



Game Theory



RBV

Using Non-Cooperative Game Theory

- Forecast industry evolution
- Decide on entry/exit
- Identify critical competitors
- Decide which game you want to play

Horizontal Trading Relationships: Forecasting Industry Evolution with Increasing Returns

- Increasing returns are the tendency for that which is ahead to get further ahead, and for that which loses advantage to lose further advantage
- small differences in initial conditions can cause large differences in long-run outcomes

ex: Network effects can dwarf product effects. “Better” products can lose out to products that “fit better”.

For Vertical Trading Relationships: From Non-Cooperative to Cooperative Game Theory

- Which game do we all want to play?
- How can we avoid killing each other?



In the long run we would expect the most efficient industry structure - that which creates most value in total. Splitting the pie is another question.

Cooperative Game Theory and Marketing Strategy

Expected value creation is largest when the following are chosen optimally

- Product design
- Allocation of manufacturing tasks
- Seller efforts at information supply
- Buyer efforts at information acquisition

III Creating Competitive Advantage

What is Marketing Strategy?

Finding Profit Opportunities

Creating Competitive Advantage

Challenging Competitive Advantage

Creating Corporate Advantage

CT Scanners
Aspertame
Flight Safety
Wal-Mart
Marks and Spencer

Today's Overview


- Industry, firm, corporate effects
- Generic strategies
- Disaggregating value creation
- Resources and inimitability

Firms perform very differently. The variance decomposes roughly like this

- Industry effects (i) 15%
- SBU effects (fi) 37.5%
- Corporate effects (f) 2.5%
- Noise 45%

Ways to Create More Value Than the Industry Average

- Differentiation: $wtp \uparrow \uparrow$, $soc \uparrow$ (Goldman)
- Cost Leader: $wtp \downarrow$, $soc \downarrow \downarrow$ (Enterprise)
- Dual Advantage: $wtp \uparrow$, $soc \downarrow$ (McDonalds)



Two Simple, But Critical, Points

- your strategy should be based on the strengths that differentiate you from competitors, your resources.
- to decide on a strategy, you therefore need to identify those resources.
- It may not be necessary to worry about creating more, or new, resources.

What Are We Good At?

Diagnosis: Disaggregating Value Creation

$$wtp = u_1 a_1 + u_2 a_2$$

$$soc = c_1 i_1 + c_2 i_2$$

- u** – varies by target segment
 - measured by market research
- a** – given by product design
 - measured by market research
- c** – varies by details of procurement
 - measured from accounting data
- i** – given by production process design
 - measured from accounting data

Realized Value per Potential Customer =

$$F(m,s) (wtp - soc) - m - s$$

$$= F(m,s) [u(a(i)) - c(i)] - m - s$$

F = Fraction buying

m = Seller Marketing costs

S = Buyer search costs

You create value when you do what no one else can do

In most cases other players can pick segments (u), product designs (a), suppliers (c), and inputs (i) from the same menu as you can.

Most differences are in the $a(i)$ and $F(m)$ functions.

Reasons for Competitive Advantage

- Why would it be cheaper for P&G to launch a new product?
 - Reputation with retailers
 - Leverage brand names
- Why would it be cheaper for P&G to design a new product?
 - Established set of routines
 - Already have designed similar products
- Why would P&G have lower manufacturing costs?
 - They have a patent
 - They can gain economics of scope in transport

Resources

Why competitors can't/won't get them

- Unique and owned by you
 - » patent
- Unique and can't be sold
 - » Smith and Jones
- Not clear how to create it
 - » culture
- Not clear what it is
 - » good at X
- Preemptive first mover advantages
 - » awareness
- Dynamic return to scale
 - » experience

From Leveraging to Building Competitive Advantage

- If several identical competitors have symmetric information, the expected return to investments in resources is zero (ex ante).

Resources are created in three ways

- 1) based on existing resource asymmetries
- 2) as results of lucky outcomes
- 3) by taking advantage of slow or sleeping competitors

Productive Social Networks

Hard to

- understand
- plan
- imitate
- grow

Complex Resources

Not enough to be good at one thing

Components + coordination

Resources that cannot be purchased directly

- If the value is v and competitors bid p_1, p_2 , etc., the winning bidder will not make money.
- If the bids are valued at $p_1 + e_1, p_2 + e_2$, etc. and the e 's are random variables, the winner may do quite well.

Ex machines vs. brand awareness

IV Challenging Competitive Advantage

What is Marketing Strategy?

Finding Profit Opportunities

Creating Competitive Advantage

Challenging Competitive Advantage

Creating Corporate Advantage

Southwest Air
Head Ski
Complaints
SAS
TV Networks
Brokerage

**A start-up needs to use its initial resources
to build a new set of resources that**

- can be leveraged
- are sustainable

Resources of start-ups

- Small social networks
- Ideas
- Management skills

Today's Overview

- Ways to Attack
- Times to Attack
- Vulnerability of Incumbents

The Two Principal Ways to Challenge Competitive Advantage Are

- Resource Imitation
- Resource Substitution

Imitation of Leader Resources

- won't make you a by winner
- often requires mass and size to succeed
- occasionally you can imitate in a new niche
- is always an option

Development of Substitute Resources

- a) offer customer a different set of attributes
 - b) use new technology to produce current attributes
-
- in either case you would like to do this in such a way that it is hard for the leader or third parties to imitate you

 - the problem is that you need ideas

Turbulence in the Environment - Needs, Customers, Suppliers, Technology - may open the Door for Attack by Upsetting the Resource-Strategy-Environment Fit of Leaders

The key is to

- read the change better than others
- take advantage of it faster
- acquire new resources cheaply

Competitive Advantages tend to be long, but not infinitely, lived

Excess profits may have a half life of 10 years

Apparently strong leaders often develop serious weaknesses.

Ceterus Paribus, One would prefer Resources that have more flexible Applications

- can select best use
- may be able to put to more uses
- robust to changes in environment

In practice, many resources can only create value under a narrow set of circumstances.

- Such resources are cheaper to create
- fewer competitors have a chance to develop such resources
→ less competition
- commitment and preemption requires narrowness

When the environment changes, some resources turn into handicaps

- Explicit contracts
- Implicit contracts
- Culture
- Reputation
- Brand names
- Leader may be fat and lazy

NO ONE IS IMMUNE FROM ATTACK

IT IS A QUESTION OF TIMING

V Creating Corporate Advantage

What is Marketing Strategy?

Finding Profit Opportunities

Creating Competitive Advantage

Challenging Competitive Advantage

Creating Corporate Advantage

Costco
Newell

Today's Overview

- Basic questions and facts
- Value and diversity
- Costs of making it happen
- What does corporate strategy mean for marketing strategy

Questions

- What is the appropriate scope of this firm?
- How does the corporation create value through its multi-market activities?
- How should the company be organized to make this happen?

Stylized Facts

There are very few single product firms.


Firms that are very diversified have lower average returns on capital.

Most companies share very general resources: legal, control systems, capital budgeting systems, etc.

More specific resources are rarely shared across industries.



More diversified companies most often participate in “simple” industries.

A given resource confers different levels of advantage in different markets.



Some resources confer relatively large advantages in relatively few markets.

Others confer smaller advantages in more markets.



There are administrative costs associated with sharing or transferring of resources.

The size of headquarters reflect part of these costs.

If the resource confers small advantages, it has to be cheap to transfer.

Corporate Marketing Strategy

In most large companies, there is significant corporate involvement in marketing strategy

- umbrella branding, logos
- other reputation with buyers
- logistics - sharing trucks
- cross-selling - sharing sales forces
- information about customers
- process skills, e.g. npd.

Making It Happen

It is important to be very clear about

- what each resource is
- how it is benefiting each business
- how the application is implemented

VI Marketing Strategy - Course Wrap Up

What is Marketing Strategy?



Finding Profit Opportunities



Creating Competitive Advantage



Challenging Competitive Advantage



Creating Corporate Advantage



We are here!!

Marketing Strategy - 1960's Style

- Identifying customer needs
- Designing products to satisfy needs
- Choose 4 P's for monopoly advantage

Marketing Strategy - 2000+

- Identifying needs and resources
- Designing products to create value
- Choose efficient selling formats

Important Concepts and Frameworks

- Resource-Based Analysis
 - Diagnosis through costs and market research
 - Leverage!!
 - Creation in the cover of dark
 - Sustainability
 - Substitution in times of turbulence
 - Sharing across products
- Cooperative Game with Suppliers, Buyers, and Complementors
 - Value created = wtp - soc
 - Value captured
 - Costs of supplying + gathering information
 - Increasing returns

Explicit, structured thinking about how you will create and capture value in a sustainable way.

Becoming. If you ask young men what they want to accomplish by the time they are 40, the answers you get fall into two distinct categories. There are those - the great majority - who will respond in terms of what they want to *have*. This is especially true of graduate students of business administration. There are some men, however, who will answer in terms of the kind of men they hope to *be*. These are the only ones who have a clear idea of where they are going.

The same is true of companies. For far too many companies, what little thinking goes on about the future is done primarily in money terms. There is nothing wrong with financial planning. Most companies should do more of it. But there is a basic fallacy in confusing a financial plan with thinking about the kind of company you want yours to become. It is like saying, “When I’m 40, I’m going to be *rich*.” It leaves too many basic questions unanswered. Rich in what way? Rich doing what?

Source: Seymour Tilles, “How to Evaluate Corporate Strategy,” Harvard Business Review, July-August 1963, p.112